- 1 A No, I did not.
- 2 Q What did you say?
- 3 A I said that I had a dummy load attached to a piece
- 4 of transmission equipment. I didn't say I was using it for
- 5 translation purposes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And also, it wasn't volunteered.
- 7 It was in response to a question.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 9 Q Would you please describe what you did to send
- this signal into a dummy load?
- 11 A What happened --
- MR. NAFTALIN: I'm going to object to the
- 13 relevance of this question. We are outside the bounds of
- this proceeding, I believe.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Helmick?
- 16 MR. HELMICK: Your Honor, I think it goes to a
- 17 pattern of conduct. I'm surprised Mr. Turro said it. I
- think we are entitled to examine him on that.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, a pattern of conduct, we
- 20 have one incident, and to the best of what I have heard in
- 21 the courtroom here today, and what I heard from the
- 22 engineers yesterday, what you are suggesting that has been
- done is not possible.
- So where is the second part of the pattern?
- 25 MR. HELMICK: Can we have a break for just a

1 minute, Your Honor?

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- 3 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
- 5 Mr. Helmick?
- 6 (Pause.)
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 8 Q Mr. Turro, I would like to just nail down, ask for
- one brief question on this to nail it down. On page 11 of
- your statement, your direct written case statement, Turro
- 11 Exhibit 1.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 O You state that "The use of a direct connection to
- 14 the Fort Lee translator has been used rarely because there
- has been very few times when an emergency has occurred at
- the same time that the Dumont studio, the Monticello station
- 17 program feed has been out of Commission."
- Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Since WJUX has gone on the air, what is your best
- 21 recollection as to whether you have ever used the microwave
- 22 feed for emergency purposes?
- A From October '94 through July 1st of '95?
- Q Yes, sir.
- 25 A Between zero and five.

- 1 Q Now, in your testimony you stated that you have --
- 2 it's less -- five times or less that you have used the
- emergency -- the microwave link for emergency purposes. And
- 4 I believe, my recollection is that yesterday you stated that
- 5 the five times or less referred all the way back to when
- 6 Franklin Lakes was on the air?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 O Now, I am asking you only to break it down from
- 9 the time WJUX was on the air.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I think we did this extensively
- 11 yesterday.
- MR. HELMICK: And I'm not sure we got an answer to
- it yesterday that could be relied upon.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, I think we got an answer
- 15 yesterday to the best of the witness's recollection, and I
- think the answer was he doesn't know.
- 17 Is that accurate?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That you can't say with any
- certainty the number of times between October of' 94 and
- July 1, '95, that the direct connection was used?
- THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
- MR. HELMICK: All right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: But that to the best of your
- 25 recollection, in all the time that you had the microwave it

- was used no more than five times?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: But you don't know which was
- 4 before and which was after?
- 5 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That was what happened
- 7 yesterday, I think.
- 8 (Pause.)
- 9 MR. HELMICK: One moment, Your Honor. I'm just
- going through my tabs here to make sure I've covered
- 11 everything.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: No problem.
- MR. HELMICK: Just a couple more.
- 14 (Pause.)
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Off the record.
- 16 (Pause off the record.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
- MR. HELMICK: Just a couple -- two questions and I
- 19 think we are out of here.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- Q Mr. Turro, turn to Bureau Exhibit 2, Bates stamp
- 22 67. I'll refer you to the second paragraph of that
- 23 newspaper article from the New York Times. Can you read
- 24 that to yourself?
- 25 A Okay.

- 1 O Now, that station refers to WJUX-FM 103.1. 103.1
- 2 is the frequency of the Fort Lee translator. Is the
- 3 listening public, or is WJUX-FM synonymous to the public
- 4 with your Fort Lee translator?
- MR. NAFTALIN: Calls for speculation, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, I don't know how Mr. Turro
- 7 could answer a question for the public.
- 8 MR. HELMICK: Well, let's back up.
- 9 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 10 Q Let's go to Bates stamp 66.
- 11 Is that some promotional material for Jukebox
- 12 Radio?
- 13 A It's very old.
- And the answer to your question is yes.
- 15 Q And that refers to Bergen County's FM 103.1?
 - 16 A Yes, it does.
 - 17 Q Your hometown radio station.
 - 18 A That's correct.
 - 19 Q And the newspaper article, that paragraph I just
- asked you to read says, "The station WJUX-FM 103.1 has just
- 21 celebrated its first anniversary as 'Bergen County's
- 22 hometown radio station.'" Correct?
- 23 A That's how it reads.
- Q Would they be referring to the first anniversary
- after WJUX-FM Franklin Lakes went on the air?

- 1 MR. NAFTALIN: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 2 I don't think this witness -- there is a foundation to
- 3 establish what this witness knew the reporter knew.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What year were you 39 years old?
 - 5 THE WITNESS: 1994.
 - 6 MR. NAFTALIN: Bad question.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: 1994. Okay. Then two
 - 8 paragraphs down it says, it refers to Mr. Turro as a 39-
 - 9 year-old. So if the newspaper article, and he was 39 years
 - old in 1994, when was your birthday?
 - 11 THE WITNESS: January 23rd.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: In '94?
 - 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: January is a great month in
- 15 which to have a birthday.
 - 16 (Laughter.)
 - MR. ARONOWITZ: I object, Your Honor.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: Just coincidentally my birthday.
 - And so January 1994, and if the newspaper article
 - is correct, then it had to refer to Franklin Lakes. But we
 - 21 don't have J. Romano on the witness stand.
 - MR. NAFTALIN: That's my point. There is no
 - 23 foundation to establish this witness has --
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: I think we have an answer,
 - 25 though.

- 1 MR. HELMICK: All right. I think we have an
- answer as well, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Although I'm not sworn.
- 4 (Pause.)
 - 5 BY MR. HELMICK:
 - 6 Q Direct your the fourth paragraph where you are
 - quoted again in this newspaper article as saying, "Everybody
 - 8 realizes that it's about time Bergen County had its own
 - 9 radio station, " quoting Jerry Turro.
- 10 Is that quote accurate, Mr. Turro?
- 11 A Did I say it exactly that way? I don't know.
- 12 Q I'm not asking exactly. Is the substance of the
- 13 quotation accurate, Mr. Turro?
- 14 A I believe it to be.
- 15 Q Now, when you made that statement, wasn't WJUX-FM
 - 16 on the air?
 - 17 A Yes.
 - 18 O And where is WJUX-FM located?
 - 19 A Franklin Lakes, New Jersey.
 - 20 Q Which is in what county?
 - 21 A Bergen County.
- MR. HELMICK: Thank you. No further questions,
- 23 Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let's take a break, and we will
- let Mr. Riley resume after the break. We will come back at

- 1 2:45. Is that okay? Is that too long or too short?
- THE WITNESS: No, that's fine, Your Honor.
- MR. RILEY: That's fine, Your Honor.
- 4 MR. ARONOWITZ: What time, Your Honor?
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: 2:45.
 - 6 MR. ARONOWITZ: 2:45, okay.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: So off the record.

 - JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record now.
- 10 Mr. Riley?

- MR. RILEY: Yes, I have just a very few questions
- 12 for Mr. Turro, Your Honor.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. RILEY:
- 15 Q Mr. Turro, one question to make sure the record is
- 16 complete on this. Is the WJUX-FM antenna, WJUX-FM, the
- Monticello station, is that antenna on the same tower as is
- 18 the WVOS-FM antenna?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 You testified in response to questions from Mr.
- 21 Helmick, I think, about your understanding of what an LMA
- 22 was. According to my recollection of your testimony you
- 23 indicated that an LMA as you understood it involved leasing
- 24 a radio station, paying the monthly fee to the licensee,
- 25 paying the bills of the station, controlling the personnel,

- 1 2:45. Is that okay? Is that too long or too short?
- THE WITNESS: No, that's fine, Your Honor.
- MR. RILEY: That's fine, Your Honor.
- 4 MR. ARONOWITZ: What time, Your Honor?
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: 2:45.
 - 6 MR. ARONOWITZ: 2:45, okay.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: So off the record.
 - 8 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record now.
 - 10 Mr. Riley?

- MR. RILEY: Yes, I have just a very few questions
- 12 for Mr. Turro, Your Honor.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. RILEY:
- 15 Q Mr. Turro, one question to make sure the record is
- 16 complete on this. Is the WJUX-FM antenna, WJUX-FM, the
- Monticello station, is that antenna on the same tower as is
- 18 the WVOS-FM antenna?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You testified in response to questions from Mr.
- 21 Helmick, I think, about your understanding of what an LMA
- 22 was. According to my recollection of your testimony you
- 23 indicated that an LMA as you understood it involved leasing
- 24 a radio station, paying the monthly fee to the licensee,
- paying the bills of the station, controlling the personnel,

- 1 controlling the station.
- With respect to the relationship you and entities
- you control have with WJUX-FM in Monticello, is it your
- 4 understanding that that is similar to what your
 - 5 understanding is of an LMA?
 - 6 A No.
 - 7 O Now, do you still have up there at the witness
 - 8 stand Bureau Exhibit 8?
 - 9 A Yes, I do.
- 10 O I would like you to open that to Bates stamp page
- 11 140, which is the amendment to the network affiliation
- 12 agreement.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And is it your testimony that this amendment
- reflects the understanding that you and Mr. Weis had reached
- on counsel's advice back in October November of '94?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q Do you have up there with you a copy of your
- 19 deposition transcript?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- Q Would you look -- I would like you to read --
- MR. RILEY: Well, let me go about this somewhat
- 23 differently if I may, Your Honor, and withdraw the "I would
- 24 like you to read."
- 25 //

1	BY MR. RILEY:
2	Q Now, I think you testified this morning that
3	through Jukebox Radio Network you provide programming to
4	WJUX-FM and the station can take it or not?
5	Do you recall testimony of that sort?
6	A Yes, I do.
7	Q Now, I think you also testified that when Carol
8	Montana sends to the Dumont studios of Jukebox Radio lists
9	of public service announcements and/or when you receive
10	programming sent down from WJUX that had been produced by
11	Mr. Blabey, the programming that may have been on WVOS
12	already, that the network has complete discretion to reject
13	that programming.
14	Do you recall giving testimony of that sort?
15	A Yes, I do.
16	Q With reference to Bates stamp page 140 of Mass
17	Media Bureau Exhibit 8, and in particular I direct your
18	attention what is numbered in parentheses paragraph 3 on
19	that page. Do you see the paragraph I am referring to, Mr.
20	Turro?
21	A Yes, Mr. Riley.
22	Q That paragraph speaks of a right of Monticello
23	Mountaintop Broadcasting, Inc., the WJUX licensee, to delete

Is it within that paragraph and the principle that

or preempt network programming.

24

25

- it expresses that WJUX can take network programming or not?
- 2 A That is correct.

- 3 Q That is, if -- well, let me just ask you this. If
- 4 WJUX without regard to the principles set forth at page 140
- of Bureau Exhibit 8 simply did not carry programming
- 6 provided to it by the network, if, for example, it decided,
- 7 Monticello Mountaintop decided that three days a week it
- 8 would not carry any programming from the network but would
- 9 play top 40 programming, would the network entity still feel
- 10 that the contract was still in existence, or that Monticello
- 11 Mountaintop was in compliance with the contract, being the
- 12 network affiliation agreement?
- 13 A Sure.
- 14 O You would?
- 15 A Sure.
- Q Would you feel obligated to make the monthly
- 17 payment to Monticello Mountaintop?
- 18 A Sure.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Would you be happy about that?
- THE WITNESS: No.
- BY MR. RILEY:
- Q Let me have you look at -- well, let me go further
- than this, though, having started down this path.
- In the event the network exercises what you
- described earlier as the network having complete discretion

- to reject public service announcements sent by Carol Montana
- 2 to the network or public affairs programming sent to the
- network from up at WJUX-FM in Monticello, do you understand
- 4 that it would be within the principles of this
- 5 parenthetically numbered paragraph 3 at page 140 of Bureau 8
- for WJUX-FM then to delete network programming and put these
- 7 public service announcements and public affairs programming
- 8 on the air directly at WJUX?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Lastly, if you would look at your deposition, Mr.
- 11 Helmick had referred to you pages 200 and 201 of your
- deposition this morning. And I would like you to look at
- page -- go beyond where Mr. Helmick's reference had left you
- 14 and if you would look at the bottom of page 201, beginning
- 15 at line 21, and continue to the top of page 202.
 - 16 A It would be how far down 202?
 - 17 Q Okay, I would like you to go down page 202 to line
 - 18 13.
 - 19 You have read that, have you?
 - 20 A No.
 - Q Okay.
 - 22 (Witness reviews document.)
 - THE WITNESS: Okay, I've read it.
 - MR. RILEY: All right.
 - BY MR. RILEY:

- Did you understand that Mr. Weis and Mr. Blabey 1 0 had arranged for the provision of public affairs programming 2 that would end up being broadcast over WJUX-FM? 3 Could you repeat the question, please? Α 4 Okay. Did you understand that Mr. Weis and Mr. 5 Blabey had arranged to have public affairs programming 6 provided that would be broadcast on WJUX-FM? 7 Α Yes. And did you for the network make a decision to 9 0 carry that programming on the network? 10 Α Yes. 11 12 Would that programming have sounded the same to listeners in Sullivan County if it had been broadcast from 13 the Ferndale studio facility directly to the transmitter and 14 on the air --15 16 Α Yes. -- as if it had gone to the Dumont facility and 17 18 been incorporated in the network feed, and then through the network feed been broadcast by WJUX-FM? 19 20 This is not an engineering question. 21 Α Yes. 22 I think that's all I have, Your Honor. MR. RILEY:
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Naftalin.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Recognizing that we are all getting a little punchy, Your Honor, I will try and make this as

- 1 short as possible.
- 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 4 Q Mr. Turro, would you turn to page 17 of your
- 5 statement, which is Turro Exhibit No. 1? I think you have
- 6 it up there.
- 7 Are you on page 17?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Okay. Would you -- the paragraph that starts
- 10 toward the bottom of the page, would you look at the first
- sentence of that and let me know if there is anything you
- 12 think should be corrected in that first sentence?
- 13 A Light number five should be changed to light
- 14 number one.
- 15 Q And is that a typographic error, to your
 - 16 knowledge?
 - 17 A Yes, it is.
 - 18 O Thank you.
 - Mr. Turro, you have answered a number of
 - 20 questions, quite a number of questions concerning the
 - 21 monthly payments made by the network to MMBI, and unless you
 - wish to you don't have to look at it again, but the network
 - 23 affiliation agreement has a schedule of monthly payments for
 - 24 the network to make.
 - 25 It's been danced all around. Why did you agree to

- 1 make the monthly payments in the amounts that are specified
- in the network agreement?
- 3 A It made business sense.
- 4 Q It made business sense to you?
- 5 A Sure.
- 6 Q It made business sense to your network?
- 7 A Oh, yeah.
- 8 Q Okay. Now, there was some testimony the other day
- 9 that at some point in time Mr. Weis and MMBI sought an
- increase to the monthly payments.
- 11 Did that occur?
- 12 A I believe it did.
- 13 Q Can you give us an idea of the amount of that
- increase, roughly?
- 15 A About \$100 a month.
- 16 Q Say it again?
- 17 A About \$100 a month.
- 18 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 19 Did Mr. Weis discuss the increase with you before
- 20 he sought it or did he just show up and say, "Pay this or
- 21 else"?
- 22 A No, it was discussed beforehand.
- Q Okay. Why did you agree to an increase?
- A Mr. Weis was going to install a generator at the
- 25 WJUX-FM transmitter site, and it made sense for the network

- 1 to protect the network equipment up there. It's digital
- 2 equipment. It doesn't like seeing voltage spikes or drops.
- 3 Having power there available to keep it on line just made
- 4 sense, to protect it from damage.
- 5 Q Okay.
- A Because of a power failure -- the last thing we
- 7 want to do is be sitting on a power line, and there is a
- 8 power failure, and then the power comes back on and then
- 9 there is a surge, and then you blow up your equipment. So
- it makes a lot more sense if you can have a standby
- 11 generator, running off a generator while you're waiting for
- the power to come up and stabilize, because when the power
- 13 comes back on again the generator would run for awhile
- 14 before it does make the switch.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Doesn't they have surge
- 16 protectors for transmitters?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Up there, Your Honor, it would be
- 18 kind of hard to do because the variations there in the
- 19 country are wild. And if you had a surge protector, you
- 20 would probably find out it would be blown up more than
- 21 working.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's all I have.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
- BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 25 Q To sum that up because I don't think I understood

- 1 every word in there.
- 2 A I'm sorry.
- 3 Q No, no, don't be sorry. I'm sure that was a great
- 4 explanation. I just didn't understand part of it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I was going to say suggest that
- 6 your engineer explain it to you after the hearing.
- 7 MR. NAFTALIN: Well, you see I am all by myself
- 8 here.
- 9 BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 10 O Is it correct to say that the network was willing
- 11 to pay the increase in the monthly payments because it got a
- reasonable value back for that increased payment?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O All right. There has been a fair amount of
- discussion about sales, network sales of advertising time in
- 16 Sullivan County or for Sullivan County and that sort of
- 17 thing, Mr. Turro.
- I believe you testified that the network placed a
- 19 sales person of its own in Sullivan County to sell ad time
- in Sullivan County; is that right?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Can you remember about when the network
- 23 first put a person down there?
- 24 A Approximately January of 1995, approximately.
- Q Okay. Who was that person?

- 1 A Georgia Fleenor.
- 2 Q And did Georgia Fleenor actually sell any ads for
- 3 the network in Sullivan County, Mr. Turro?
- 4 A Yes, she did.
- Okay. Now, we understand that the illustrious Ms.
- 6 Fleenor was actually replaced at some point?
- 7 A Yes, she was.
- 8 Q Although she lost her job, she was replaced by
- 9 another salesperson?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And did the subsequent salesperson in Sullivan
- 12 County also sell ads?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O Thank you.
- Now, Mr. Turro, you had some questioning from Mr.
- 16 Helmick concerning percentages of ad sales, trying to
- 17 compare Bergen County ads, Sullivan County ads and that sort
- 18 of thing.
- 19 Let me ask you this: In terms of advertising buys
- on the network, can you give me a percentage, an
- 21 approximately percentage of how much -- out of 100 percent,
- 22 about how many advertising buys come from Bergen County
- 23 businesses?
- 24 A Forty-five percent.
- 25 Q About 45 --

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Can we have the time period.
- MR. HELMICK: Yes, we need a time frame.
- 3 MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
- 4 BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- Q All right, let me start with now. What did your
- 6 answer refer to when you said it?
- 7 A I'm giving you a rolling average.
- 8 Q Would you say that the 45 percent number that you
- gave was approximately right for the whole period of time, I
- mean, to the best of your understanding?
- 11 A I would say for the last two years of operation.
- 12 O Okay.
- 13 A I really can't get more accurate than that.
- 14 Q All right. All right, for the last two years of
- 15 operation.
- And give a percentage for me of ad buys from
- 17 businesses located within Sullivan County.
- 18 A About 10 percent.
- 19 Q That leaves about 45 percent of your ad inventory.
- Who or what bought the other 45 percent of your ad
- 21 inventory?
- 22 A Regional. Regional.
- 23 Q Regional?
- 24 A Regional or national.
- 25 Q Regional --

- 1 A Regional or national advertisers.
- 2 O Regional, can you -- would regional or national
- advertisers be trying to sell products in both Bergen County
- 4 and Sullivan County?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. Can you give me some examples of regional
- 7 advertising, or national advertisers that would could be
- 8 trying to attract business in both Bergen County and
- 9 Sullivan County?
- 10 A Subaru; Buick; banks. I don't write these
- 11 contracts. Broadway show people buy shows. I don't write
- the contracts, but it's about 45 percent. There is a fair
- amount of regional and national.
- 14 Q So, in addition to the 10 percent, roughly 10
- percent of ad buys directly from businesses located in
- 16 Sullivan County, there is roughly another 45 percent of ad
- buys which would certainly be -- which would be businesses
- 18 trying to -- interested in attracting revenues out of
- 19 Sullivan County?
- 20 A Yes.
- O Okay. You testified at some point -- heaven knows
- when -- that you were "supervised construction of WXTM"?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Could you give me a more specific idea of
- 25 what you meant by "supervised construction of WXTM"?

- 1 A I watched the guys do it. I watched the
- 2 transmitter installed. I watched the antenna installed. I
- 3 basically watched the -- I watched the electricians put the
- 4 power to the transmitter. I watched the gentleman from
- 5 Energyonics come down and commission the transmitter. I
- didn't really get heavily into hands on with it.
- 7 O Okay. Now, you did install the network equipment
- 8 with your own two hands?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 O Okay. Was Mr. Weis involved?
- 11 A Well, he was there present through pretty much the
- 12 whole thing.
- 13 Q Okay. And did he -- was he sitting in his car
- listening to the radio or was he involved?
- 15 A He was involved.
- 16 Q Okay. What did he do?
- 17 A He yelled at his construction guys, you know.
- 18 Q Did he direct the construction?
- 19 A There you go. He directed the construction guys.
- 20 Q All right.
- 21 A His own construction people.
- 22 Q Now, this was a crew from his own company, right?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Let's take one more shot at the five -- the no
- 25 more than five emergency messages language. Let me try.

- 1 The problem with this whole thing is your memory is foggy,
- 2 right?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q Okay. From late October 1994, when the Monticello
 - 5 station goes on the air, until such time as the microwave
 - 6 station was turned off for ever, some time at the beginning
 - of July of 1995, you've testified that you had no more than
 - 8 five -- no more than five emergency messages that could have
 - 9 been put on the microwave.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Objection, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes?
- MR. ARONOWITZ: And I'm not entirely sure that Mr.
- 13 Turro testified to that, or testified to that within that
- 14 time limit. I'm not --
- MR. NAFTALIN: Oh, okay, let me rephrase it.
- BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 17 Q In the history of your -- in the history of your
- involvement in radio up in New Jersey, you have testified
- that there has been a five, or no more than five times when
- 20 the microwave has been used to put emergency messages on the
- 21 Fort Lee translator?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay? Okay.
- Now, moving into the late October 1994 to early
- July 1995 period of time, you're unclear as to the number of

- 1 emergency messages that may have been put on the microwave
- 2 during that period of time?
- 3 A That is true.
- 4 Q Is it possible there were no emergency messages
- 5 put on during that period of time?
- 6 A It's possible.
- 7 O Okay. Mr. Turro, touching, I hope, briefly on the
- 8 subject of dummy loads or mother loads or whatever they are,
- have you ever yourself or have you ever caused to happen
- 10 starting from late October 1994 through this minute right
- 11 now, from the time that Monticello station went on the air
- to this very instant, have you personally ever, have you
- ever caused to have happen, have you ever sought to have a
- 14 dummy load placed somewhere to -- in the Mediterranean
- 15 Towers or anywhere around it -- to simulate or fake off-air
- 16 reception of the Monticello station by the Fort Lee
- 17 translator? Have you ever --
- 18 A Absolutely not.
- 19 Q Have you ever through any technical means, any
- devise, voodoo, magic, any technique know, that you know of
- 21 caused something in some way to fabricate the appearance of
- the reception of the Monticello station off the air by the
- 23 BCCBF?
- 24 A Absolutely not.
- Q Mr. Turro, you have known Herman Hurst for many

- 1 years; is that right?
- 2 A This is true.
- Q Okay. He's been your consulting engineer for a
- 4 number of years?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And have you used his services as a
- 7 consulting engineer periodically for years?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Have you ever tried to mislead Mr. Hurst with
- 10 respect to the circumstances of your radio operation?
- 11 A Never.
- 12 Q Okay. Have you generally -- in fact, have you
- 13 always tried to describe radio matters to him as accurately
- 14 as possible?
- 15 A Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: How about equipment located
- 17 there at various times?
- MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 20 Q Have you accurately described -- when you have had
- occasion to describe to Mr. Hurst locations of equipment,
- 22 uses of equipment, kinds of equipment, installations of
- equipment, anything of that nature, have you described such
- 24 matters to him as accurately as possible?
- 25 A Yes.